

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

7 6w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,106	12/21/2001	Jean-Christophe Renauld	LUD 5752 DIV JEL/NDH (101	7513
24972	7590 01/10/2005		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGH	IT & JAWORSKI, LL	HAMUD, FOZIA M		
666 FIFTH A			C	DARED MID (DED
NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/026,106	RENAULD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Fozia M Hamud	1647			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No.	ovember 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12,24,25 and 29 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12,24,25 and 29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/026,106 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

1a. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

- 1b. Applicants submission filed on 12 November 2004 has been entered. Claims 1 and 29 have been amended. Claims 13-23, 26-28 and 30-37 have been previously cancelled. Thus claims 1-12, 24-25 and 29 are pending and under consideration.
- 1c. Receipt of Applicant's declaration under 37 C.F.R §1.132, filed by the inventors of the instant application filed on 17 August 2004, is also acknowledged.

Response to Applicants' Amendment:

- 2. The following previous rejections are withdrawn in light of Applicants' amendment filed on 12 November 2004:
- (i) The rejection of claim 29 for not satisfying the written description provision of 35 U.S.C.§112, first paragraph is withdrawn, because the description that the claimed oligonucleotide consists of 17-100 contiguous nucleotides of SEQ ID NO:7 or 9 is sufficient to satisfy this provision of the statute.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101/112:

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3a. Claims 1-12, 24-25, 29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101, for reasons of record, set forth in the office actions mailed on 03/09/04 and 09/29/03, and reiterated here, because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility.

The specification describes the claimed nucleic acid as encoding a novel receptor designated as "LICR-2", and Applicant indicate that it is involved in STAT activation. However, as was pointed out in the previous office action, a variety of molecules activate STATs. Therefore, the fact that the protein of the instant invention activates a STAT protein is not sufficient to establish a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility, because one of ordinary skill in the art would not know which physiological process is the protein of the instant invention involved in.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3b. Claims 1-12, 24, 25 and 29 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a substantially asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention. Instant specification does not define the physiological role of the LICR-2 polypeptide encoded by the claimed nucleic acid, neither does it establish a link between this protein and a disease or a physiological condition. Therefore, there is no specific and substantial asserted utility or well established utility for the claimed nucleic acid or the encoded

protein. The specification discloses only the sequence of the claimed nucleic acid and the encoded protein, and that it activates STAT proteins, however, that is insufficient to establish a specific or substantial utility for the claimed invention.

37 CFR 1.132 Declarations:

4a. Applicants present a declaration filed under 37 CFR 1.132. However, this is insufficient to establish a specific or substantial utility for the claimed invention.

Applicants submit that the LICR-2 protein of the instant invention is involved in STAT activation, and in particular it activates STAT3. Applicants submit data showing that anti-LICR-2 serum abrogates the phosphorylation of STAT3. Applicants also present data showing that anti-LICR2 sera, inhibits IL-29 activation of STAT3. Applicants data has been fully considered but is not deemed persuasive to overcome the rejection of claims 1-12, 24-25 and 29 mad e under 35 U.S.C. § 101/112.

The fact that LICR-2 protein of the instant activates STAT3 is not disputed, however, this activation does not establish the physiological relevance of said protein. STAT3 is involved in a wide variety of physiological processes and is activated by variety of signaling systems. For example, IL-6 type cytokines evoke a number of distinct responses, including induction of an acute-phase response in hepatoma cells, stimulation of proliferation of B lymphocytes, and growth arrest in monocytes, (see Levy et al. The Journal of Clinical Investigation, vol.109, No.9, May 2002, pages 1143-1148, especially page 1143). Levy et al also teach that STAT3 is activated by G-CSF-R signaling during granulopoieses, HGF activates STAT3 during the process of tubule outgrowth in epithelial cells and that IL-10 requires STAT3 activation for its anti-

inflammatory properties on macrophages. STAT3 is also implicated in cancers, (see page 1144, column 1). Thus, although STAT3 activation is an important event, this transcription factor is activated by a variety of agents such as growth factors, oncogenes and cytokines, leading to a wide spectrum of responses. Accordingly, Applicants have not disclosed what responses are evoked after the LICR-2 of the instant invention activates STAT3. Does the activation of STAT3 by LICR-2 lead to cancer development, proliferation, apoptosis or survival? Without this knowledge, the physiological relevance of the LICR-2 of the instant invention cannot be ascertained.

With respect to the data showing that LICR-2 sera inhibits IL-29 activation of STAT3, the relevance of this data is not clear. Relevant literature teaches that IL-29 is related to type I interferons and IL-10 family, and that it has antiviral activities, (Sheppard et al, Nature immunology, January 2003, Vol.4, No.1, (63-68), especially see page 67). However, the instant specification does not disclose any activity for the protein encoded by the claimed nucleic acid, aside from the fact that it activates STAT. Furthermore, the instant specification does not disclose a relationship between the protein of the instant invention and IL-29. Furthermore, if there is a relationship between the protein of the instant invention and IL-29, its relevance is not clear.

Conclusion:

5. No claim is allowed.

Advisory Information:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fozia M Hamud whose telephone number is (571) 272-

0884. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Thursday-Friday, 6:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda G Brumback can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fozia Hamud Patent Examiner Art Unit 1647 05 January 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER